



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

Paper No.

Fay Sharpe LLP
1228 Euclid Avenue, 5th Floor
The Halle Building
Cleveland OH 44115

COPY MAILED

AUG 24 2009

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of :
Hiroshi Tagawa :
Application No. 10/574,020 : DECISION ON PETITION
Filed: December 18, 2006 : PURSUANT TO
Attorney Docket No.: TOMI : 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(B)
200011 :
Title: BRUSH-LESS TYPE :
ROTATION DETECTOR SHIELDING. :
STRUCTURE :
:

This is a decision on the petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b), filed June 23, 2009, to revive the above-identified application.

This petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to submit drawings in a timely manner in reply to the Notice of Allowance and Issue Fee Due, mailed February 17, 2009, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three months. No extensions of time are permitted for transmitting formal drawings.¹ Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on May 18, 2009. A Notice of Abandonment was mailed on June 12, 2009.

A grantable petition pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

- (1) The reply required to the outstanding Office action or notice, unless previously filed;
- (2) The petition fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.17(m);

¹ See MPEP § 710.02(e)(III).

- (3) A statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to this paragraph was unintentional. The Commissioner may require additional information where there is a question whether the delay was unintentional, and;
- (4) Any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 C.F.R. § 1.20(d)) required pursuant to paragraph (d) of this section.

With this petition, Petitioner has submitted the petition fee and corrected drawings, along with the proper statement of unintentional delay. A terminal disclaimer is not required. As such, the first three requirements of Rule 1.137(b) have been met. The fourth requirement of Rule 1.137(b) is not applicable, as a terminal disclaimer is not required.²

Pursuant to this decision, the Office of Patent Publication will be notified of this decision so that the present application can be processed into a patent.

Petitioner may find it beneficial to view Private PAIR within a fortnight of the present decision to ensure that the revival has been acknowledged by the Office of Patent Publication in response to this decision. It is noted that all inquiries with regard to any failure of that change in status should be directed to the Office of Patent Publication where that change of status must be effected - **the Office of Petitions cannot effectuate a change of status.**

Telephone inquiries regarding this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3225.³ All other inquiries concerning the status of the application should be directed to the Office of Patent Publication at 571-272-4200.



Paul Shanoski
Senior Attorney
Office of Petitions

² See Rule 1.137(d).

³ Petitioner will note that all practice before the Office should be in writing, and the action of the Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. See 37 C.F.R. § 1.2. As such, Petitioner is reminded that no telephone discussion may be controlling or considered authority for any further action(s) of Petitioner.